UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:

Docket #23cr118/

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 23m2007

Plaintiff, :

- against -

WANG, YANPING, : April 4, 2023

New York, New York

Defendant. :

----: BAIL HEARING

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE
THE HONORABLE ROBERT W. LEHRBURGER,
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff: UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

BY: JULIANA MURRAY, ESQ.
RYAN FINKEL, ESQ.
One Saint Andrew's Plaza
New York, New York 10007

For Defendant: LIPMAN LAW PLLC

BY: ALEX LIPMAN, ESQ.

45 West 29th Street, Suite 303

New York, New York 10001

CHAUDHRY LAW PLLC

BY: PRIYA CHAUDHRY, ESQ.

147 West 25th Street New York, New York 10001

INTERPRETER PRESENT

Transcription Service: Carole Ludwig, Transcription Services

155 East Fourth Street, #3C New York, New York 10009 Phone: (212) 420-0771

Email: Transcription420@aol.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;

Transcript produced by transcription service.

INDEX

2

 $\hbox{\tt E} \hbox{\tt X} \hbox{\tt A} \hbox{\tt M} \hbox{\tt I} \hbox{\tt N} \hbox{\tt A} \hbox{\tt T} \hbox{\tt I} \hbox{\tt O} \hbox{\tt N} \hbox{\tt S}$ 

Re- Re-Witness Direct Cross Direct Cross Court

None

EXHIBITS

Exhibit Voir Number Description ID In Dire

None

1

1 PROCEEDING 3 2 THE CLERK: We're here in the matter for a bail hearing, U.S. v. Yanping Wang, 23cr118. Attorneys, 3 please state your name for the record starting with the 4 5 Government. MS. JULIANA MURRAY: Good afternoon, Your 6 7 Honor, Juliana Murray and Ryan Finkel on behalf of the United States. We're joined by Paralegal Specialist 8 9 Jeffrey Merns (phonetic). 10 MR. RYAN FINKEL: Good afternoon. 11 MR. ALEX LIPMAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor, 12 Alex Lipman, Lipman Law PLLC, and with me is my co-13 counsel Priya Chaudhry, Chaudhry Law PLLC. We're here for the defendant Yanping Wang. And she's here present 14 15 and she's being assisted by a Mandarin interpreter. 16 THE COURT: All right, thank you. Good 17 afternoon. Ms. Wang, can you hear and understand 18 everything the interpreter is saying? 19 MS. YANPING WANG: Yes, I do. 20 THE COURT: All right, terrific. So when we 21 law saw each other, you were going to see Judge Torres 22 in regard to Mr. Kwok and also seek possibly her say so on this matter. I understand she has left it in my 23 24 So I guess I will hear from the parties as to hands. 25 where we are and what can be done, should be done in

```
1
                           PROCEEDING
2
   respect to the financial suretors that the Government
   says are not sufficient to meet the obligation under the
 3
   conditions set by Judge Parker that two financially
 4
5
   responsible people be able to sign on to the bond.
             And this is really defendant's application in
6
7
   that they raised this concern, and so I'll hear from
   defense counsel first. But why don't you also let me
8
9
   know if there's been any developments during the last
10
   week that make any difference and/or whether anything
11
   that happened before Judge Torres influences what
12
   happens here.
13
             MS. MURRAY:
                          Just briefly, Your Honor, I just
14
   wanted to confirm that this is being recorded, this
15
   proceeding, because I don't see a court reporter.
16
   just for the record.
17
             THE COURT: It is being recorded
18
   electronically.
19
             MS. MURRAY:
                         Thank you.
20
                         May I begin, Your Honor?
             MR. LIPMAN:
21
             THE COURT:
                         Yes, please.
22
                          Your Honor, in our view, from the
             MR. LIPMAN:
23
   beginning, the Government never actually established by
24
   preponderance of the evidence that the defendant is a
25
   flight risk. And I want to go - we actually agreed to
```

1 PROCEEDING 5

the bond conditions, but we agreed to the bond conditions based on conversation that we had with the Government that in which the Government made certain representations about what was found in Ms. Wang's apartment. And so we were told that, we didn't have a lot of time to discuss things with our client, but we thought, all right, it seems reasonable, and then we agreed that we were going to propose names of two cosigners for the bond and, frankly, didn't think that this was going to be an issue.

Then the Government made certain statements on the record, and as we started having trouble having them approve the people we proposed, we at some point asked them for support for some of the things that they said were the reasons that our client is a flight risk. And so then they eventually provided it to us, and what we found is basically one of three things. The Government either made statements that are half true, and so we need to actually fill in the blanks and realize that what they said isn't really right. They have made statements that are contradicted by the evidence that they gathered in Ms. Wang's apartment, and then they made statements for which they're just conjectures.

They're not actually supported by any evidence. So let

6 1 PROCEEDING 2 me back up and start at the beginning. THE COURT: 3 Okav. MR. LIPMAN: So Ms. Wang and her co-defendant, 4 5 Mr. Kwok, knew that the Government was looking at them for a very long time, so much so that in September, and 6 7 according to the indictment, in September and October of last year the Government seized a bunch of assets, 8 9 according to the indictment it's something like on the 10 order of \$700 million, and the Government seized those assets. It was a civil seizure, but it referenced, as 11 12 specified (indiscernible). Right? So \$700 million 13 seized, I'm not sure that I can say for the Court that my client understood the full scale of what was seized, 14 15 but she certainly understood that the Justice Department 16 has seized a bunch of money, right. 17 And then there was an SEC settlement for the 18 GTV case which is the one that's relevant to her, and 19 GTV paid back something in the order of I want to say 20 \$500 million, which incidentally they didn't pay after 21 the - they first paid the money and then the SEC issued 22 a settlement order. So it's in the reverse order from 23 the Government says happened. Okay? So she knew, she 24 knew that the Government was looking at her and that she 25 was potentially in severe legal jeopardy.

7 1 PROCEEDING 2 Despite that, she didn't go anywhere, but The Government says she had the passport 3 there's more. from, a Chinese passport that she could've traveled on, 4 5 right, and that she, and she's an asylum applicant, and because she's an asylum applicant, they say this is one 6 7 of the factors to consider in her not having ties to the United States is somehow she count against her. 8 9 Well, because she's an asylum applicant and she 10 doesn't want to lose her asylum application, she did 11 want to go travel, and she applied to the United States 12 government for a furlough so that if she traveled, her 13 asylum application would not get denied. So the 14 Government, and that happened, she received permission 15 to travel between December and January of last year, so December '22 to January I want to say 27, I'm probably 16 17 wrong on the exact date, but something from mid-December 18 to the third week of January --19 THE COURT: And when was the seizure of the 20 money that you referred to? 21 MR. LIPMAN: September and October according to 22 the indictment. I think it's September 18 and October, 23 was it is, 24, 26. 24 So she put the government on notice that she 25 was going to go travel despite all of this going on.

1 PROCEEDING 8 2 She did not travel during that window. It expired. Ιt expired for reasons that have nothing to do with 3 anything other than she had a particular trip that she 4 had in mind to make, she couldn't get, it didn't work 5 out logistically. She then applied for another 6 7 application, and I believe that was, according to her immigration counsel, that was on February 8, 2023. 8 month before she was arrested. 9 10 So the idea that she is a flight risk is, given 11 all of that, is a little far-fetched, but there is a 12 reason for it, and the reason is this. Ms. Wang is in 13 different times would be called a revolutionary. 14 has put herself, her family, everything she's done at 15 risk because she is opposing the communist party of 16 China, and whatever it is that they say in the 17 indictment, there is no dispute, none whatsoever, that 18 she has put herself in jeopardy. Her son is in China, 19 her husband, the man, the one and only boyfriend she's 20 ever had, they're not allowed to have any communication 21 with her --22 THE COURT: Right, but as I understand the 23 Government, I don't know if they've pivoted or whether 24 they always asserted this, but their concern is with 25 fleeing to other jurisdictions, be it the United Arab

1 PROCEEDING 2 Emirates where supposedly Mr. Je, a co-conspirator, is or Vanuatu where she has an expired passport application 3 or wherever. 4 5 MR. LIPMAN: So, Your Honor, let me take Vanuatu first because that's easiest. Okay? 6 7 with the passport that's expired, they also found two documents both for her and Mr. Kwok in her apartment 8 9 saying that she's renouncing Vanuatu citizenship. So 10 that's not an issue. The other thing is she got the 11 passport for Vanuatu I believe in 2016, if I have that 12 correctly, that was before she came to the United 13 States. China and Vanuatu have since become good 14 friends, and it's a different situation now, and I don't 15 think it would be safe for her to go there. 16 As far as going to United Arab Emirates, the 17 United Arab Emirates does not, from what we heard this 18 morning from the Government in Mr. Kwok's hearing, 19 United Arab Emirates does not extradite its citizens to 20 the United States. It does have an extradition treaty 21 with China. She's not a citizen of United Arab 22 Emirates, nobody's suggesting that she is. She's not, 23 she doesn't have a passport from there. The only 24 passport that she had that was still live, they have 25 possession of that passport. They found it in her

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        10
2
   apartment. So no, she cannot go somewhere else.
             Now let's talk about whether she --
 3
             THE COURT: Wait, wait, I want to stop
 4
   you there, just on the issue of the extradition with
5
   United, with the UAE. What I heard you say was that
6
 7
   they don't have an extradition agreement with respect to
   citizens of the UAE, and then I thought I heard you say
8
9
   that they have an extradition treaty with China which,
10
   of course, she's not going to go back to, and this isn't
11
   a proceeding in China. What is their status with
12
   respect to extradition of a citizen of a third-party
13
   country, if you will, and extraditing to the United
14
   States? I'm sure the Government can tell me but I'm
15
   wondering if you have an understanding.
16
            MR. LIPMAN: As far as I know, there is no
17
   extradition treaty with the United States, but it's an
18
   irrelevant issue rather because, first of all, she can't
19
   get there. Okay? And, second, she's not concerned
20
   about being extradited to the United States; she's
21
   concerned about being extradited to China --
22
             THE COURT: I understand.
23
            MR. LIPMAN: -- where she's going to get
24
   arrested and shot. I mean there's a difference.
25
   know, as bad as the MDC is, it's not exactly a Chinese
```

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        11
2
   prison.
3
             THE COURT: But is your point if she shows up
   at the UAE, she's going to get exported or extradited to
4
5
   China automatically?
             MR. LIPMAN: Well, I don't know about
6
7
   automatically, but she certainly is in great danger of
   that happening. That's absolutely true. And, look, in
8
9
   the hearing that we had earlier this morning, the
10
   Government actually, it was discussed, all the efforts
11
   that the Chinese government has undertaken to get Mr.
12
   Kwok back to China, including bribing American
13
   officials, there's a case going on now, a criminal trial
14
   I believe is going on right now in D.C. in which several
15
   government officials who've been bribed by China in
16
   order to facilitate Mr. Kwok's deportation from the
17
   United States to China.
18
             Well, the Government, this woman, according to
19
   the Government, is Mr. Kwok's chief of staff, whatever
20
   that means. Well, I don't think that they seriously
21
   will dispute that she is in danger. So --
22
             THE COURT: I'm sorry, that she's what?
23
             MR. LIPMAN: In danger.
24
             THE COURT:
                         In danger.
25
             MR. LIPMAN: Meaning I don't think they
```

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        12
2
   seriously dispute that if she went to China, got into
   China or that China wants her.
3
 4
             THE COURT: Right.
5
             MR. LIPMAN: There can't be a serious dispute
   about that. So now let's talk about what would happen
6
7
   if she were in the United States. Basic reason, basic
   reason, she is Mr. Kwok's, according to the Government,
8
9
   chief of staff. She's very recognizable. Right?
10
   recognizable in the community of people who are here.
11
   This is a community of thousands of people in the United
12
   States. So the Government says, oh, they will hide her.
13
   Well, first of all, that's, forgive me, but that's just
14
   an improper inference. To think that thousands of
15
   people who are on the U.S. soil will secret a fugitive,
16
   is it because they're Chinese, is it because they speak
17
   Mandarin --
18
             THE COURT: No, because they're, because they
   potentially were victims of the fraud.
19
20
             MR. LIPMAN: Except for this.
                                            If they are
21
   victims of the fraud, they know what's going on, they're
22
   adults, and so they could at any time become persuaded
23
   that, in fact, she should be returned to the United
24
   States government if she is a fugitive.
25
             But there's more to this, and the more is this,
```

1 PROCEEDING 13 2 if the Chinese - we know from what I've read in the 3 paper, that the Chinese communist party has parking Chinese communist officials from their police in their 4 5 United States consulate in New York. For a second can we think that they're not keeping tabs on her? And that 6 7 if she showed up anywhere in any community where people speak Mandarin as their primary language that she would 8 9 be spotted? For a second can anybody conceive that the 10 Chinese communist party wouldn't find her and identify 11 her and tell the government exactly where she is? 12 Now let's take the alternative. Let's assume 13 for a second that she decided to hide herself in, I 14 don't know, Utah among white people. She speaks English 15 with a heavy accent, and her first language in Mandarin. 16 Would she not stick out like a sore thumb? 17 that this woman can hide is blatantly absurd. It's 18 And the idea that she could rely on people to 19 hide her in the United States. So where are we? 20 can't leave and she can't hide. That's not flight risk. 21 But there's more. And the more is this. 22 Government said, and this is why we actually thought we 23 were okay with the bail package that they proposed. 24 They said we found stuff in her apartment that tells you 25 that she's a flight risk. What is it? We found twelve

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        14
2
   phones. Of these twelve phones, six of them were
   secreted in boxes that were, that looked like brand new
3
   boxes of iPhones and these were used - this is a
 4
5
   representation from the Government of the United States
   to a court in the United States. So we said, all right,
6
7
   let's look at the pictures. Send us the pictures.
8
   Well. May I approach, Your Honor?
9
             THE COURT: You may.
10
             (pause in proceeding)
11
             MR. LIPMAN: This is the evidence log, Your
12
   Honor, that was of collected items from her apartment.
13
   This is what we got from the Government. Okay?
14
             THE COURT: Uh huh.
15
             MR. LIPMAN: I'm going to assume that
16
   everything on here is true and correct because it came
17
   from the Government. If it's not, they should tell Your
18
          Here's a list of phones and where they were
19
   found. On the first page. Numbers 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7.
20
   These are all iPhones, and they were all found on the
21
   kitchen table. Now, Your Honor, if the Court would like
22
   to see, I have pictures of them.
23
             They were found on the counter in the kitchen,
24
   three of them. They were plugged in in plain view.
25
   There was a phone that was on the side of the table,
```

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        15
2
   there was another phone someplace on the side. There's
   a description here. On nightstand, right of bed.
3
4
   changing --
5
             THE COURT: Right, well, those - all right, and
   they didn't say all of them were --
6
7
             MR. LIPMAN: Your Honor --
             THE COURT: -- secreted --
8
9
             (interposing)
10
             MR. LIPMAN: -- trust me, trust me --
11
             THE COURT: Let's just --
12
             MR. LIPMAN: I'm not bypassing --
13
             THE COURT: I didn't think you were, but we
   don't need to go over the ones that are sort of obvious.
14
15
             MR. LIPMAN: Well, Your Honor --
16
             THE COURT: Okay.
17
             MR. LIPMAN: I wouldn't be talking to you if,
18
   right?
19
             THE COURT:
                         No.
20
             MR. LIPMAN: Okay. So here we go. On page 6
21
   of 9 --
22
             (pause in proceeding)
23
             THE COURT: Okay?
24
            MR. LIPMAN:
                         Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry, Your
25
   Honor.
          I apologize.
```

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        16
2
             THE COURT:
                         Sure.
            MR. LIPMAN: On page 7 of 9.
 3
             THE COURT: Okay.
 4
5
            MR. LIPMAN: Do you see where it says 56 --
             THE COURT: Yes.
 6
 7
            MR. LIPMAN: -- white phone, 57, white phone --
             THE COURT: Yes.
8
            MR. LIPMAN: -- 58, white phone --
9
10
             THE COURT:
                         In bag in closet.
11
            MR. LIPMAN: In bag in closet. Not in a box
12
   pretending like it's new. It's in a bag in closet. I
13
   have a picture of the closet. I'm happy to show the
14
   Court the bag that it was in. There is, in fact, in
15
   that picture one white box for an iPhone in that
16
   picture. One box. And according to this none of these
17
   phones came out of that bag, that box. But even if one
18
   did, that's one.
19
            Now, also on this page you see, Your Honor,
20
   where it says Mac book number 55 in between clothes?
21
             THE COURT: Uh huh.
22
            MR. LIPMAN: Okay, so one of the things that
23
   they said is, oh, look, she's hiding stuff in between,
24
   in her closet. She's secreted a laptop in between her
25
   clothes. So a couple of things about that. Number one,
```

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        17
2
   as the Government well knows, Ms. Wang is not unfamiliar
   with what happens when the FBI raids somebody.
3
   raided Mr. Kwok previously. She knows what happens when
4
5
   that happens. Okay? So the idea that she could think
   that she could hide a laptop in between her sweaters is
6
7
   absurd.
             But there's more now, Your Honor. Here is the
8
9
   - if I may - which is this?
10
             ATTORNEY: 46.
11
             MR. LIPMAN: If I may approach, Your Honor.
12
             THE COURT: You may, and just, I want to
13
   confirm something. Are we looking at evidence and
14
   material that was not available before the hearing
15
   before Judge Parker?
16
             MR. LIPMAN: This was not available to us
17
   before - we got this - so here's what happened. We
18
   asked them some of these questions about the phone,
19
   right, we asked those questions I think it was on the
20
   29th. Do you have our letter? But essentially, Your
21
   Honor, we got these the night before we saw you.
22
             THE COURT: Okay, so that was well after Judge
23
   Parker's ruling.
24
             MR. LIPMAN: If I may approach, Your Honor.
25
             THE COURT: Yes.
```

1 PROCEEDING 18 2 MR. LIPMAN: Your Honor, this is the FBI schematic of the apartment that Ms. Wang lives in. 3 Βv 4 the way, it's 740 square feet. This is a woman who 5 apparently defrauded people up to, for something like a billion dollars. Anyway, so on this page, Your Honor, I 6 7 call the Court's attention on what is in the apartment and what is not. There is a bed in the bedroom, and 8 there's a side table. There is a couch in the 9 10 livingroom, and there's something in front of the couch, 11 it's actually a (indiscernible). There is nothing else 12 in this apartment. There's no wardrobe, there's no 13 chest of drawers, there's no desk, there's nothing. So 14 where does she keep her stuff? In the closets. All of 15 her stuff is in the closets. Her old phones were in the 16 closet. Right? There's nothing nefarious about putting 17 stuff in the closet when you don't have any furniture. 18 So then, so then they say, okay, we found money 19 in her apartment. We found money, we found \$138,000. 20 Ms. Murray said in recent bills, she thought they were 21 recent bills. Okay. So then we thought, all right, can 22 we see the pictures of the money? Why did we ask for pictures of the money? Because we had reason to think 23 24 that a bunch of that money was in red envelopes which 25 apparently in Chinese culture it is common on holidays

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        19
2
   like Chinese New Year to give people gifts of money, and
   they found red envelopes. And so I wanted to see where
3
   the money is, what it looks like, and how old it is.
4
5
   Right?
            So asked for the pictures. That's actually,
6
7
   truth be told, that's the thing that kind of prompted
   this conversation to begin with. (indiscernible) the
8
9
   money. Okay?
10
             So what did we find? (pause) May I approach,
11
   Your Honor?
12
             THE COURT: Yes, you may.
13
             (pause in proceeding)
14
            MR. LIPMAN: This, Your Honor, is the pouch in
15
   which the money was found. Now, the Government says in
16
   a letter to you, Your Honor, in their latest letter,
17
   they said conveniently in a bag for easy retrieval.
18
   Really? Okay, let's look at it. It's a bank bag.
                                                        This
   is what money comes from when you get money from the
19
20
   bank. What else do we see here? We see that there are
21
   a bunch of this is in red envelopes. Now there's other
22
   cash in here, and, in fact, there's another picture.
23
             THE COURT: Look, you don't need to go in this
24
   much detail on the cash. And, you know, I agree with
25
   you, I don't find the fact that it's in a bag
```

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        20
2
   particularly persuasive that means someone's necessarily
   going to run because it's in a bag. It's organized.
3
   But one thing maybe you can tell me is, and I realize
4
5
   this is shifting time a bit, but I thought that in their
   filing that, their last filing that prompted putting
6
7
   this over, that they had indicated and represented that
   Ms. Wang did not disclose this $138,000 to Pretrial, but
8
9
   I was under the impression this had already been seized
10
   a couple of weeks before.
11
             MR. LIPMAN: No, it was seized on the day of
12
   her arrest, okay, and the question that she was asked,
13
   the relevant question was did you have any money cash on
14
   you when you were arrested. She was arrested at 6:15
   a.m., she was in her pajamas. The truthful answer to
15
16
   that question is no. We checked out notes, we don't see
17
   any other questions that would have elicited a different
18
   answer. So did she disclose it voluntarily? No.
19
   don't know that she was asked about it.
20
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
21
             MR. LIPMAN:
                         Okay?
22
             THE COURT:
                         I get it.
23
             MR. LIPMAN: But, Your Honor, even if she had
24
   been asked about it, there were a dozen FBI agents in
25
   her apartment ripping it up. Okay, I mean she was, she
```

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        21
2
   was beyond stressed. She's sitting and talking, in a
   situation that she's never encountered, she's being
3
   asked these questions. Is it crazy that, you know, the
4
5
   question is have you, do you have any cash on you, and
   she says - did you have any cash on you when you
6
7
   arrested and the answer is no and she doesn't say
   anything else? I mean really?
8
             All right. Now, by the way, before - because
9
10
   they're going to bring up another picture for you, Your
11
   Honor, and I don't want to be accused of giving you
12
   something less than the full picture. And the full
13
   picture is that when they made another picture of the
   money - I apologize, Your Honor. I've gotten so
14
15
   excited, I lost the other picture of the money. Here it
16
   is. May I approach?
17
             THE COURT: Yes.
18
             (pause in proceeding)
19
             MR. LIPMAN: This is the picture that makes it
20
   look as if more of this money is more recent because you
21
   can see there are some old bills, some new bills.
22
   However, with that said, as I told the Government,
23
   there's a good explanation for why some of that money is
24
   recent. Okay? And the explanation, and I told the
25
   Government this, is that she had some pounds that she
```

22 1 PROCEEDING 2 brought over from, with her herself at some point in her previous travels, and that those pounds, you know, 3 however they got to her, but those pounds needed to be 4 5 replaced because apparently when the Queen died, they're exchanging their money for money that looks, that has a 6 7 picture of the King. Okay, so over time she had that replaced, so there's got to be something like \$30,000, 8 9 \$40,000 in there that's recent that has to do with that. 10 I asked to see the bills yesterday when it was 11 too late for me to go do it, they said you can come see 12 I'll see them at some point. But my point though, 13 Your Honor, the idea that this is money secreted so that 14 she can get out of Dodge, no, no, that doesn't make any 15 sense. 16 THE COURT: No, but it is suggestive that she 17 has access to significant funds even if that particular 18 one wasn't what she was intending to use. 19 MR. LIPMAN: Let's address that. Okay? 20 Government says she didn't disclose all of the bank 21 accounts over which she had control. I had a specific 22 conversation with the Government in which I said if 23 you're asking about accounts for which she can actually 24 transact, meaning no third people, right, in other 25 words, but my bank account, I can go and do stuff.

1 PROCEEDING 23 2 firm's bank account, not necessarily. Well, in my case yes, but, you know, if you work for a firm, you may be 3 able to direct somebody to do whatever, that's firm 4 5 business, but you can't take it and put it in your pocket. Okay? So what I said to the Government is we 6 7 are aware of two accounts, right, that are hers. aware of another business account where she could have, 8 9 she could transact. We gave them the account and the 10 number. Right? We're not aware of any other accounts. 11 That is not, we did not hide from the Government that 12 she owns this BBI entity. That's not - the question was 13 \_ \_ I understand, that's, of the list 14 THE COURT: 15 of four things, three of them were business entities, 16 two of them weren't even hers directly. What about the 17 Himalayan cryptocurrency? 18 MR. LIPMAN: Good question. So I've been 19 trying to figure out what happened with the Himalayan 20 thing, and there are two things about that. Number one, 21 the document that they're referring to, remember how I 22 said there are some things where there's evidence, there 23 are some things that are half-truths, there are some 24 things that are contradicted, and then there are some 25 things were it's just a leap? Right?

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        24
2
             So what are they looking at? They're looking
   at a schedule that says allocation, okay, allocation.
3
   They're not looking at an account at H Coin.
4
   not looking - they're looking at an allocation.
5
   been trying to figure out what happened to that
6
7
   allocation. The best I can ascertain is that she has no
   idea what happened. I'm not saying that something
8
   didn't happen with it. I'm saying that she has no idea.
9
10
   Okay?
             THE COURT: But which is --
11
12
             (interposing)
13
             MR. LIPMAN: But there's more --
14
             THE COURT:
                         The current value of that, right,
15
   at least the Government says is something like $13
16
   million. I'm sure it was less than, well, maybe who
17
   knows given the market. But you would think that
18
   someone - I'm going to assume it was a significant
19
   amount of cyber currently at the time in that to her it
   was significant, and you would think one would keep
20
21
   track of that significant amount.
22
             MR. LIPMAN: If one thought that it was theirs,
23
   then one would. But, Your Honor, here's - so the
24
   Government seized hundreds of millions of dollars,
25
   including from the Himalayan exchange. The Government
```

25 1 PROCEEDING 2 is alleging that it's all a fraud. Out of one side of 3 their mouth they say it's worthless, and people can't actually turn it into cash. The SEC said, in its 4 5 complaint the SEC says people tried to turn it into cash but couldn't. Well, is it or isn't it? Because if it 6 7 is, then maybe it's worth \$13 million, though we don't know how to access it. But if it isn't, if their 8 allegations are correct, then I don't know what the 9 10 mechanism is for turning this into cash. Okay? 11 So this is all to say that the presumption is that she would be released or released pursuant to 12 13 conditions that are least restrictive to assure her --14 THE COURT: Right, but are we arguing anew? 15 mean this comes back to the question, Judge Parker implemented or ordered conditions. The crux of the 16 17 problem is that one of her conditions is not being 18 fulfilled because the Government has taken the position 19 that none of the persons offered to be financially 20 responsible are going to be sufficient suretors either 21 because they don't exercise moral suasion, because they 22 aren't financially responsible, or they are a victim or 23 a participant in the alleged fraud. And there's a 24 question of, okay, what happens if they keep on not 25 accepting these people. So I just want to be careful

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        26
2
   about thinking of this as brand new when Judge Parker
   has already set conditions.
3
             MR. LIPMAN: So, Your Honor, if I may.
 4
             THE COURT: Yeah.
5
             MR. LIPMAN: So, first of all, according to
6
7
   3142, 18 U.S.C. 3142(e)(iv)(3), "The judicial officer
   may at any time amend the order to impose additional or
8
   different conditions of release."
9
10
             THE COURT: Yes. Understood.
11
             MR. LIPMAN: So that's number one. Number two,
12
   as I explained to the Court, we agreed to $5 million -
13
   I'm sorry, Your Honor, I used to be in, you know, for a
   brief time at the U.S. Attorney's Office. When the
14
15
   prosecutor says we found recent cash, we found stuff,
16
   they told us they found stuff, documents hidden in her
17
   cushions of her, the only piece of furniture she has.
18
   So they said they found in the cushions of her loveseat
19
   or whatever it is, okay. Well, somewhere here is my
20
   other exhibit that I'm going to, sorry, Your Honor, I
21
   get excited.
22
             Anyway, somewhere here, I'll get it for the
   Court, yeah, this is fantastic, thank you. This is
23
24
   important. So, first, let me finish the first thing.
25
   Okay? So the photographs, the log of the photographs
```

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        27
2
   that were taken. I was looking to see if I can find a
   photograph or a log of a document hidden in the
3
              That doesn't exist. You know what else
 4
5
   doesn't exist? They said in their - this is a
   representation to a court, they said we found a phone
6
7
   hidden between mattresses in her bedroom. I want to see
   this picture. I want to find it on the log of pictures
8
   that are taken. Where is it? It doesn't exist. Or at
9
10
   least it hasn't been given to us.
11
             Now, there is a picture like that that was
12
   taken at Mr. Kwok's search, and - thank you.
13
             (pause in proceeding)
14
            MR. LIPMAN: May I approach, Your Honor?
15
             THE COURT: Yes.
16
            MR. LIPMAN: So that's a picture of a phone
17
   hidden between mattresses. But it's not from her
18
   apartment. And I have yet to see the one from her
19
   apartment.
20
            One other thing, they said she has stuff in
21
   her, in the pouch for easy retrieval, right, the money
22
   was in the pouch for easy retrieval. Everything was in
23
   a pouch for easy retrieval. You know what else was in
24
   the pouch for easy retrieval? I think every credit card
25
   she's ever had. I mean a bunch of old expired credit
```

28 1 PROCEEDING 2 cards, easy retrieval. It doesn't make sense. Now let me, Your Honor, let me just now switch 3 over to the other piece of this which is the proposed 4 co-signers, the Government's refusal to approve any, and 5 what this is about. And I want to start with something 6 7 that I actually did not plan on doing because it only happened in the courtroom this morning. You see these 8 people here, many of these people here are here to 9 10 support her. 11 She got emotional in the courtroom and started 12 crying because she realized that all these people are 13 here to support her, and let me explain what that means. 14 The Government probably doesn't know this, but surely it 15 is actually unlawful for the Government to disclose that 16 somebody's an asylum applicant. There's a regulation 17 that says that. I didn't know. I found out recently. 18 I'm sure they don't know. I'm sure they didn't do it 19 deliberately. 20 But the reason is obvious. Right? If you have 21 somebody coming from a country, you identify them as 22 somebody who's seeking asylum somewhere else, that 23 immediately puts them in danger. All of these people 24 simply by coming here, do you think there's no one here 25 from the Chinese communist party in this room right now

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        29
2
   monitoring this? All these people simply by coming up
   and standing up for her have exposed themselves, their
3
 4
   families --
             THE COURT: I don't think anyone questions
5
   perhaps their intent. The question does the --
6
7
             MR. LIPMAN: Does she care about them?
             THE COURT: -- does the defendant care enough
8
9
   about these people that she's going to be concerned
10
   enough about whatever monetary means they're putting on
11
   the line versus taking flight, and one would paint the
12
   picture, if you're the Government, saying she's alleged
13
   to have committed fraud, you've got strong evidence.
                                                          So
   why would she care about the people she defrauded?
14
15
             MR. LIPMAN: Fair amount, Your Honor, I was
16
   about to address it.
17
             THE COURT: Okay.
18
             MR. LIPMAN:
                         There are different ways to think
19
   about moral suasion. Right? I think we all agree that
20
   a brother can sign for a brother, and the first brother
21
   is not going to care. They're relatives, they're
22
   brothers, but they're not going to care. It's also true
23
   that people can connect in some way, they could be
24
   strangers, but they connected, right, and so somebody
25
   can have moral suasion over somebody else who actually
```

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        30
2
   they don't have all that much interaction.
   love each other. Right?
3
             But there's another kind, and the other kind is
4
   this, if you are a member of a certain kind of community
5
   and you're - and this community is important to you,
6
7
   it's important to you what happens to the members of
   this community. Now, the Government's going to say, oh,
8
9
   my God, a billion dollars, these people are victims.
10
   Well, they're here, they don't think they're victims,
11
   but that's another story. Okay?
12
            But here's the thing, look at the indictment,
13
   Your Honor. Mr. Kwok is alleged to have bought himself
14
   a Lamborghini.
                  I would love one. Okay? But does she
15
   have one? No. He apparently is living in a mansion and
16
   has other mansions and boats and this and that and the
17
   other thing. Where in the indictment is there an
18
   allegation that any of this money went to her?
19
   closest they've come is this allocation of the coin
20
   allocation. Right? And we don't know what happened to
21
   that. Okay.
22
             So the question you have to ask yourself is why
23
   is she doing this? Why does she leave her family, her
24
   son, her one true love, right, and moved to a foreign
25
   country where she is basically exposing herself as a
```

1 PROCEEDING 31 2 revolutionary, why did she do this? Okay. There's an answer, but that she's trying to enrich herself is not 3 the answer. So then the question is would she, given 4 5 what the Government has alleged about her, not about her co-defendants, but about her, because what happens to 6 7 the co-defendants is relevant but what matters really is 8 what happens to her. And also the question, given the allegations 9 10 that the Government has made, right, is she the kind of 11 person who will stick one of these people with a \$5 12 million debt? And the answer to that is obviously no, 13 she lives in a 740 square foot apartment without 14 furniture, away from her family with whom she cannot 15 have anymore contact. It's just beyond belief that we 16 have given them eight people, grownups, right, they 17 don't like all of them, that's fine. They say we didn't 18 get enough documents with respect to certain people. 19 Really? Somebody's willing to put up a \$3 billion 20 house, what other documents do you want? We couldn't 21 post that house unless we were able to prove to them 22 that that house existed and belongs to the person who's

posting it. Right? That person is an adult who

understands what's going on and thinks that she, that

that person has moral suasion over her and thinks that

23

24

25

```
1
                           PROCEEDING
                                                         32
2
   she's not - there are three people, the co-signers who
   are in this room today.
3
             So where does the Government, forgive me, Your
4
5
   Honor, but where does the Government get off making
   those judgments for these people?
6
 7
             THE COURT: Well, that's part of what, I mean
   they get to form that judgment, and if you don't agree
8
   with it, that's why we're here, but they, the Government
9
10
   needs to be assured or feel assured that the financial
11
   security that's being posted is sufficient to reasonably
12
   assure that the defendant will not flee, and there is a
13
   valid concern I think in theory that if you have folks
14
   that are allegedly victims of a fraud that's being
15
   committed, that the fraudster or alleged fraudster may
16
   not be so incented as one might normally be,
17
   theoretically.
18
             MR. LIPMAN: Your Honor, one cannot paint
   everything with a broad brush.
19
20
             THE COURT:
                         I agree.
21
             MR. LIPMAN: One really needs to look at the
22
   particular circumstances, and the particular
23
   circumstances is that the Government is not alleging
24
   that she stole money. It's just that simple. They're
25
   alleging that the other two stole money. They're not -
```

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        33
2
   meaning for herself. They're going to get up and say,
   well, you know --
3
             THE COURT: Yeah, she was allegedly
4
5
   instrumental and in the middle of it.
             (interposing)
 6
 7
             MR. LIPMAN: -- this and that. You know, and,
8
   by the way, forget the presumption of innocence like
9
   whatever. Anyway, the point is that they're not
10
   alleging she enriched herself at the expense of these
11
   people. So then the question is what is the reasonable
12
   conclusion, I mean a reasonable basis for concluding
13
   that she will do so with respect to this bond.
14
             But, Your Honor, but I tell you this, everyone
15
   she knows falls into one of two categories. They're
16
   either friends (indiscernible) or a family, okay, or
17
   they're members of this community. You know, it's -
18
   sometimes people say, well, how is this possible? She's
19
   lived in the country for seven years and she doesn't
   have any friends. Well, she doesn't because she's a
20
21
   revolutionary, Your Honor, because she has a mission in
22
   life, and her mission is something different than making
23
   friends.
24
             So my point is this, these people can only come
25
   from one of these two groups, okay, and if the
```

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        34
2
   Government cannot approve cosigners who belong to one of
   these two groups because as a category they
3
4
    (indiscernible), then, Your Honor, you have the
5
   authority to change this, and, in fact, as the Court is
   well aware, one of the provisions in here is that you
6
7
   cannot have a financial condition that makes it --
             THE COURT: "The judicial officer may not
8
9
   impose a financial condition that results in the
10
   pretrial detention of the person." 18 U.S.C.
11
   3142(c)(2). And yet the Government seems to have found
12
   cases that say that in the context of the statute, that
13
   does not trump but rather what trumps is whether the
14
   conditions will reasonably assure the presence of the
15
   defendant at future proceedings. And even a case you
16
   rely on, U.S. v. Panaronda, says that too, and they said
17
   the ultimate question is the Court should consider
18
   whether that particular financial condition is a
19
   necessary part of the bail conditions to provide
20
   reasonable assurance of the defendant's appearance.
                                                          Ι
21
   mean that's really what we have to decide.
22
             MR. LIPMAN: And, Your Honor, that case, I'm
23
   going to mispronounce names so I apologize --
24
             THE COURT: Panaronda.
25
             MR. LIPMAN: Okay, what happened in that case
```

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        35
2
   is Judge Sweet, we'll change the conditions. I mean and
   he said, look, there's $250,000 bail here, this person
3
   is never going to either meet it or get anybody who is
4
   good for $250,000. I'm going to reduce it to something
5
   that people can meet and still satisfy the conditions.
6
7
             We proposed, just so that we're clear, in
   addition to posting, you know, property to secure the
8
9
   bond that would be more than enough, right, because, you
10
   know, it says two. There are three people who together
11
   have more property than $5 million. They can post it
12
        They're prepared to do it. But separately. She
13
   has access, as far as I know, and the Government doesn't
14
   actually know anything different, she personally only
15
   has access at this point to two accounts that belong to
16
   her and that she has value in her apartment, we already
17
   posted that. So her apartment, one of her accounts
18
   completely --
19
             THE COURT: With $400,000.
20
            MR. LIPMAN: Well, I'm not sure exactly.
21
             THE COURT: Well, that was the one that you
22
   offered up --
23
            MR. LIPMAN: Yeah, yeah. And then the second
24
   one monitor it. I mean we're happy to have - in other
25
   words, she --
```

```
36
 1
                          PROCEEDING
2
             THE COURT:
                         I get it.
 3
             MR. LIPMAN: -- would have no money --
             THE COURT:
 4
                         Right.
5
            MR. LIPMAN: Now, the Government says, well,
   you know, supporters, this and that, they can - that's
6
7
   true in every case. Your Honor, I'm going to sit down
   because I've been going on, you've indulged me and I
8
   appreciate that. But, Your Honor, there's no reason why
9
10
   this woman should spend another night in prison.
11
   There's no reason. She's not a flight risk. She has
12
   already put up her apartment. We're happy to have the
13
   three co-signers that are here are happy to go down and
14
   sign the bond today. We can post the - in fact, I will
15
   take personal responsibility for the two accounts.
16
   Thank you. My much wiser co-counsel reminded me that
17
   what these people have on the hook is not $5 million.
18
   It's their lives and their families' lives because of
19
   what they're proposing to do for Ms. Wang.
20
             If that is not an indication that they think
21
   they have moral suasion --
22
             THE COURT: That's not, it's not, I don't
23
   question their thought process on it. And I just want
24
   to confirm something. In terms of what you did propose
25
   in terms of possibly modifying the conditions is you
```

1 PROCEEDING 37 2 wanted the Court to approve two of the eight that you had offered. You have three here now. You were going 3 to, in addition to the security for the apartment, you 4 were going to put up the \$400,000 account and the 5 \$130,000 cash that was seized. You were going to put 6 7 additional security through others that you now say I think that you could get to an amount in total of \$5 8 9 million. Do I have that right? 10 MR. LIPMAN: Yeah, we could. I mean we have 11 three people willing to post their property, and one of those houses is I think \$3 million, one is 1.7 if I 12 13 remember correctly, but yes. 14 THE COURT: And then you proposed also that the 15 Government monitor and approve any expenditures from the 16 \$500,000 account. 17 MR. LIPMAN: I'd rather Pretrial did it and not 18 the U.S. Attorney's Office, but yes. 19 THE COURT: Yeah, again, one of the driving 20 concerns here is - I'm just looking for where this was 21 said, that, and this is from U.S. v. Melville I think. 22 "Bail is not for the purpose of providing funds to the 23 Government to seek the defendant should he go 24 underground or flee the jurisdiction. Bail is intended 25 as a catalyst to aid the appearance of the defendant

```
1
                           PROCEEDING
                                                         38
2
   when warranted." So, again, I just want to emphasize
   that we're talking about what is the defendant going to
3
   be motivated to do.
4
5
             MR. LIPMAN: I appreciate that, Your Honor,
   but, Your Honor, and I don't want to annoy you --
6
7
             THE COURT: No, no, you're not annoying me.
             MR. LIPMAN: -- with I've already said, but the
8
9
   fact of the matter is that --
10
             THE COURT: And I'm not saying I think
11
   necessarily that she won't be motivated. I just want to
12
   make sure we're all on the same page about what's
13
   important.
14
             MR. LIPMAN: Your Honor, you and I are on the
15
   same page, absolutely, but, again, when a woman starts
16
   getting emotional because people come here to support
17
   her, when the Government does not allege that her
18
   participation in the scheme, even if true, was for the
19
   purpose of benefitting her, I mean, really, she did all
20
   this so that somebody else can drive in a Ferrari?
   Really?
21
22
             Anyway, they're not alleging that she did this
23
   for personal gain, and this is as good an indication as
24
   there is that what she's not going to do is stiff
25
   somebody for the 2 million.
```

```
39
 1
                           PROCEEDING
2
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
                         Okay. So I already said that she
3
             MR. LIPMAN:
4
   is a revolutionary. She believes in the cause. If she
   didn't believe in the cause, if she didn't believe in
5
   these people - thank you.
6
7
                         The two are not mutually exclusive,
             THE COURT:
   fraud and belief in a cause.
8
9
             MR. LIPMAN: Well, Your Honor, that's true,
10
   but, again, you have to look at the individual and what
11
   is it that they did --
12
             THE COURT: Of course.
13
             MR. LIPMAN: And so --
14
             THE COURT:
                         I agree.
15
             MR. LIPMAN: -- they call each other, so I've
16
   talked to a bunch of people --
17
             THE COURT:
                         I think I get enough.
18
             MR. LIPMAN: You get it. And they call each
19
   other - just before I sit down, they call each other
20
   brother and sister, okay, and I've talked to a bunch of
21
   them, and I mean all I can say is that they're willing
22
   to risk everything, and she has not done anything to
   indicate that she would do, she would jeopardize them
23
24
   at, jeopardize them personally for her own, for her own
25
   personal gain.
```

```
40
 1
                          PROCEEDING
2
             THE COURT:
                         Understood. Thank you.
             MR. LIPMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
 3
             THE COURT: All right, I will hear from the
4
5
   Government.
             MS. MURRAY: Thank you, Your Honor. Just one
6
7
   brief point that Mr. Lipman just raised. With respect
   to the defendant's personal gain, the Government would
8
9
   note that the defendant's living in a $1.1 million
10
   apartment. The defendant has nearly a million dollars -
11
12
             THE COURT: One might consider that poor in the
13
   middle of New York, but, you know, nonetheless.
14
             MS. MURRAY: Has nearly a million dollars in
15
   cash and her bank accounts, the two that were disclosed,
16
   and I'll get to that point. We have evidence that she
17
   was allocated $7 million approximately of what was a
18
   cryptocurrency or a purported cryptocurrency at the time
19
   of the initial coin offering at a lower valuation.
20
   that would be worth substantially more now. And she had
21
   over approximately $138,000 of cash in her safe.
22
             But I would like to reset with respect today's
23
   proceedings.
24
             THE COURT: Okay.
25
             MS. MURRAY: At the very outset, Your Honor
```

1 PROCEEDING 41 2 asked about the status of the bail proceedings, and no, there have no further discussions between the defense 3 and the Government regarding proposed suretors. 4 Government has not received any documentation additional 5 to the documents that the defense submitted in 6 7 connection with their motion that support the various purported financial situation of the suretors that they 8 9 proposed even though they were on notice from the 10 Government's submission that we believe the 11 documentation to be incomplete or inadequate to make an 12 accurate determination or assessment. The Government 13 has not successfully reached the eighth co-signer that 14 the defense had proposed and, therefore, has been unable 15 to interview that person. So that's where we are today. 16 Now, there are really three questions for the 17 Court today. First, with respect to the defendant's 18 motion, whether the Court should direct that the 19 defendant has satisfied the conditions of her bond, the 20 conditions that Judge Parker imposed when she was 21 initially presented on March 15, several hours after her 22 arrest. The answer is clearly no. 23 The second question is whether the Court should 24 modify the conditions of that bond that Judge Parker 25 imposed to remove the co-signers requirement, which is

1 PROCEEDING 42 2 one of the first modification requests the defense is asking for, or potentially in connection with or an 3 alternative various different modifications, be it 4 5 posting additional property or cash in support of the bond, adding co-signers, aggregating co-signers. 6 7 with respect to modification, the answer is plainly no, the Court should not do that. 8 And, finally, the third question, which was 9 10 raised in the Government's submission last Friday, 11 whether the defendant should be detained pending trial 12 because there are no conditions or set of conditions 13 that will reasonably assure her presence at future court 14 proceedings. And, Your Honor, the answer to that is 15 yes. 16 So I'll take each of those points in turn. 17 First, with respect to the proposed co-signers, 18 the defense submitted documentation and names and 19 information about those co-signers to the Court. 20 is because they are not approved by the Government. 21 under the statute the basis for the Court to approve 22 unapproved co-signers is to evaluate documentation, 23 information about those co-signers, and then determine 24 whether they have a net worth with sufficient 25 unencumbered value to pay the full amount of the bond,

1 PROCEEDING 43 2 here \$5 million. And I'm not going to go over each of the individuals, Your Honor, because we laid this out in 3 great detail in our initial submission. We went through 4 5 each of the seven proposed co-signers that the defense has presented to the Court here with documentation, 6 7 again, setting aside the eighth whom we were not able to interview. 8 For each of those seven, based on the documents 9 10 that the defense is providing to Your Honor for your 11 consideration of whether those individuals meet the 12 standard of the statute, first of all, Your Honor, none 13 of them has appropriate moral suasion over the 14 defendant. And, again, we laid this out but I would 15 like to make that point a bit more finely because it's 16 extremely important where here the defense is saying 17 that these individuals exercise moral suasion. 18 And, Your Honor, is correct, it's not a 19 question of whether the proposed co-signers believe that 20 they have influence or moral suasion over the defendant. 21 It's a question of how the defendant feels, and while we 22 can't put ourself in our head or in her heart, what we 23 can do is we can look at the evidence that's in front of 24 us. 25 These seven proposed co-signers for Your

1 PROCEEDING 44 2 Honor's consideration, some of them have never met Ms. Wang, never spoken with her. A handful of them have met 3 her at events, generally speaking. Most do not know 4 where she works. Most do not know where she lives. 5 They don't talk to her frequently. They don't appear to 6 7 have a personal relationship. Interestingly, and I'll get to this point, one 8 9 of the individuals actually believes that Ms. Wang works 10 at Gettir, which is one of the alleged entities involved 11 in the fraud and a potential instrumentality. And 12 believes that because that individual met Ms. Wang in 13 connection with interviewing for a position at Gettir. 14 I'll talk about why that's relevant. Another individual 15 believes that she works at a company called HCHK 16 Properties. Again, one of these shell companies that's 17 used in the course of this billion dollar fraud. 18 And these proposed co-signers whom defense 19 argues exercise moral suasion, they don't know the 20 defendant well enough to even have personal relationship 21 with her, and, therefore, we have no comfort that Ms. 22 Wang would in any way be dissuaded by their signing a 23 bond from fleeing, from leaving them responsible for 24 paying the amount of the bond. 25 THE COURT: Well, even if they don't have what

45 1 PROCEEDING 2 we think of as a traditional personal relationship or family relationship or a deep friend relationship, why 3 can't they be bonded over a cause? 4 5 MS. MURRAY: They could be bonded over a cause, In this particular situation, and this is 6 Your Honor. 7 why the Government's argument about these individuals being potential victims of the fraud or apparent victims 8 9 of the fraud is important, this fraud has been largely 10 perpetuated targeting that community. It is a fraud 11 that has focused on preying on and mobilizing people who 12 support Mr. Kwok's and Ms. Wang's and Mr. Je's movement 13 against the CCP. Those are the exact individuals who 14 have been identified and targeted to send hundreds of 15 millions dollars, over a billion dollars, of money to 16 line Mr. Kwok's pockets, Mr. Je's pockets, their 17 families, to reinvest in the companies that are the 18 instrumentalities of the fraud, companies that Ms. Wang 19 manages and works for, some on paper and some functional 20 control. 21 So there's no comfort that the Government can 22 derive from the argument that because an individual is a 23 member of the allegedly community that Ms. Wang has 24 supporters, that that will influence Ms. Wang to not 25 flee.

1 PROCEEDING 46

And, Your Honor, I just note, moral suasion factors vary, but some of the considerations include the strength of ties between the defendant and the proposed suretor. Again, here, with respect to all of the proposed suretors in front of this Court which the defendant provided to Your Honor, that factor doesn't exist.

Also, the defendant's roots in the community, we understand from defense counsel that Ms. Wang essentially works and then works within this community, but I would just note during the second attempt at this bail hearing for Ms. Wang Judge Netburn did note that Ms. Wang has lived in the country for seven years and is representing that she knows no one, no one who could potentially come forward as a co-signer who either isn't a potential victim within this community or a potential subject or co-conspirator of the fraud.

And then also the regularity of contact. And here, again, we don't have regular contact between these proposed suretors and Ms. Wang.

Now, turning to the second factor in evaluating the proposed suretors that are before the Court is financial responsibility. And, again, here, I don't want to belabor the point because we have gone through

```
47
 1
                          PROCEEDING
2
   each of the proposed suretors, but these individuals do
   not have sufficient assets of an unencumbered value to
3
   support the full amount of the bond. That is the
 4
5
   statutory framework that we're working within at this
   point where conditions have been imposed, where the
6
7
   Government has unapproved suretors, and the defense has
   now moved to bring them before the Court --
8
             THE COURT: Well, why I am hearing at least
9
10
   from the defense that with an entire package and the
11
   supposed three FRP's who are here, suretors, that they
12
   do have $5 million. Let me just verify something,
13
   counsel for the defense, are you saying that that is
14
   unencumbered, 5 million?
15
             MR. LIPMAN: Yeah, we have three people who
16
   have unencumbered - well --
17
             THE COURT: Net unencumbered.
             MR. LIPMAN: Thank you. Yes, net I think adds
18
19
   up to - let's put it this way, together with the million
20
   dollars that she has definitely, I know that one is $3
21
   million. I'm sorry, I'm spacing on one of them, but I'm
22
   pretty sure that those three cover $5 million. But that
23
   they do including the --
24
             THE COURT: Right, she's got the million, she's
25
   also got the 400, she's got the 138. So we're good for
```

```
48
 1
                           PROCEEDING
2
   1.5 about.
 3
             MR. LIPMAN:
                          Right.
                         So a few responses to that, Your
4
             MS. MURRAY:
5
   Honor.
             THE COURT:
 6
                         Yeah.
 7
             MS. MURRAY: First, this is the first kind of
   question that I had mentioned that's before the Court
8
   which is simply whether the Court should direct that she
9
10
   has satisfied the conditions of her bond, the conditions
11
   that were imposed. And those are the conditions of two
12
   co-signers. And what defense has brought before Your
13
   Honor in this motion are seven or eight specific names
14
   with specific documentation they are purporting
15
   justifies the Court directing that two of those co-
16
   signers be approved.
17
             Now, it's not clear which two the defense is
18
   asking Your Honor to approve --
19
             THE COURT: No, but she has, look, there are
   three here today that he's specifically proposing. I
20
21
   don't know who they are at the moment, but I think he
22
   has one specifically in mind is my point, and one might
23
   also take, might be offering to say, well, geez, we want
24
   to but, you know what, the Government should pick the
25
   ones they think are best. Just saying there are ways to
```

49 1 PROCEEDING 2 deal with that. But I understand. MS. MURRAY: Sure. Yeah, and I understand, 3 So then I quess I'll move to the second 4 Your Honor. question which is whether the Court should modify the 5 conditions of the defendant's bond, either to remove the 6 7 co-signer to alter in and adjust the bond so that there's more cash or property securing the bond. 8 9 said, the answer is plainly no to that as well. 10 And I just want to make a few points about the 11 representations that counsel has made --12 THE COURT: Before you do, let's assume for the 13 moment there are no financially responsible people in your view because they don't know her personally except 14 15 for having maybe met her a couple of times, they're not 16 family, and the only thing they have in common is this 17 cause. If I am to assess whether that particular 18 condition is necessary to reasonably assure the presence 19 of the defendant at future proceedings as opposed to 20 some other combination of provisions, putting aside, of 21 course, all the provisions that are already in place, 22 the home detention, electronic monitoring, etc., why 23 can't I then or why shouldn't I then consider other 24 things that are being offered insufficient to take that 25 Why does it have to be two financially

50 1 PROCEEDING 2 responsible people as opposed to, you know, another combination of what's being offered? 3 MS. MURRAY: Your Honor can consider modifying 4 5 the conditions of the bond certainly if you determine that there is a set of conditions that would reasonably 6 7 assure the defendant's appearance at future court 8 proceedings. THE COURT: And to be clear, I'm not saying 9 10 what I have in mind is anything less than what Judge 11 Parker would think, and I'm not pretending to put myself 12 in her shoes. But I could imagine that given that the Government and the defendant came to essentially an 13 14 agreement on most of the terms of a package, that Judge 15 Parker no doubt was assuming at the point that there 16 would be two financially responsible people. And if she 17 was presented with an argument that said, well, the 18 Government's willing to agree to this, but we don't have 19 anybody we're going to approve, she might take a 20 different tact. She might not, she might say, you know 21 what, it's the Government's prerogative, the Government 22 offered this package, they can't satisfy it, t's not 23 going to do it, then I'm going to detain. 24 So I'm not saying it should necessarily come 25 out differently, but I think it's a little too pat in

1 PROCEEDING 51 2 some respects - well, again, why that condition as opposed to what else is being offered? 3 Well, there are actually multiple 4 MS. MURRAY: 5 conditions, and, Your Honor, the reason is that when the Government discussed a proposed bail package on consent 6 7 with defense, it was hours after the defendant was arrested on March 15. The Government had not had the 8 9 opportunity to go through the evidence that was then 10 being collected from the defendant's apartment in 11 connection with the FBI's premises search. 12 frankly, the Government was not yet aware that the 13 defendant was going to lie to this Court, to Pretrial 14 Services --15 THE COURT: I don't understand what the lies 16 are. I have to say I didn't, you know, in your letter 17 you accuse the defendant of dissembling on this. 18 only one that grabbed me as a possible dissembling would 19 be the cryptocurrency. But it's certainly plausible 20 that you could have a cryptocurrency that was allocated 21 in 2016 I think the date was and, you know, it may have 22 never materialized into anything. It certainly 23 suggests, you know, where did that go, can't someone 24 tell us, but right now she's saying she has no control 25 over access to it because she doesn't even know where it

52 1 PROCEEDING 2 is or what it is. MS. MURRAY: So a few points there, Your Honor. 3 4 First, the defendant during her Pretrial Services interview indicated she's been unemployed since 5 September of 2022. Now, documents that the Government 6 7 reviewed late last week that had been seized from her apartment and additional evidence that the Government 8 has, and, as you know, we can proceed by proffer in 9 10 detention hearings --11 THE COURT: Yes. 12 MS. MURRAY: This is not a mini trial. 13 Government's evidence is that the defendant was, in 14 fact, continuing to work in connection with her named 15 position with family offices of Mr. Kwok's family money 16 and also with some of the other entities that I 17 mentioned that are instrumentalities of the fraud up 18 until effectively the date that she was arrested. 19 have seen documents that lay out the financial position of various of the different entities that are associated 20 21 with the fraud. Those include Gettir which is, as I 22 mentioned, one of the proposed suretors believes Ms. 23 Wang formally works for. They include HCHK Property 24 which another of the suretors believes Ms. Wang formally 25 works for, and the Government's evidence shows Ms. Wang,

1 PROCEEDING 53 2 in fact, is the 99.999 percent shareholder of HCHK through her BBI entity. 3 They include G Clubs which is one of the arms 4 5 of the fraud that is outlined and alleged in the Government's indictment. They include the Rule of Law 6 7 Society and the Rule of Law Foundation which are charities, purported charities that Mr. Kwok and others 8 9 founded in 2018 that laid the groundwork and the basis 10 for collecting all these monies through the different 11 arms of the fraud. 12 And, Your Honor, these are printouts of 13 balances of accounts, accounts raised through present 14 which, as reflected in the documents, was variously February 2023 or March 13 of 2023, two days before the 15 16 defendant was arrested. 17 THE COURT: But those are corporate funds, 18 right, but you're using it for the point about 19 employment. 20 MS. MURRAY: I'm using it for the point about 21 employment, Your Honor, and also effective control. Mr. 22 Lipman indicated he doesn't know what the Government 23 means when it uses the general phrase chief of staff. 24 What the Government is alleging by so characterizing Ms. 25 Wang is that she manages and controls these various

1 PROCEEDING 54 2 Now, like Mr. Kwok, she doesn't have her name entities. on each of the different companies that she is involved 3 with, but the Government has no question in light of the 4 5 evidence both found in Ms. Wang's apartment, the fact that people associate Ms. Wang formally with these 6 7 companies because they interviewed with her for jobs at some of these companies or they had contracts with her 8 9 in connection with their work with some of the 10 companies. 11 Ms. Wang runs the show with respect to these 12 instrumentalities. She has done so up until the day of 13 her arrest contrary to what she told Pretrial Services. 14 And the Government would allege that part of the reason that she lied to Pretrial Services was to disclaim 15 16 association with the various different instrumentalities 17 of the fraud. To say that she took herself out of the 18 fraudulent entities, notably, Your Honor, right around 19 the time that the Government started to seize \$630 20 million in fraud proceeds. 21 So in the Government's view, at the time of the 22 initial presentment and bail argument, we were not aware 23 that we were going to find concrete evidence in the 24 defendant's apartment that, in our view, proves what the

Government already alleged and believed to be true from

25

55 1 PROCEEDING 2 its investigation which is Ms. Wang has continued working for these companies up until the time of her 3 arrest. So that is one point, Your Honor. 4 5 change in circumstances. The Government has a change in circumstances from where it was at the time of the 6 7 initial presentment. Now, with respect to accounts, the allocation 8 9 of H coin or one of the purported cryptocurrencies that 10 is traded on the Himalaya exchange, again, another arm 11 of the fraud, the allocation document was found in Ms. 12 Wang's apartment with various other documents that seem 13 to support the fraud. Your Honor is correct, defense is 14 correct, there's no way for the Government to prove that 15 Ms. Wang holds that money, and, in fact, the 16 Government's allegation is that it's not cryptocurrency, 17 but we're not alleging it's valueless. We're alleging 18 that certain people have it and the people who are 19 quickest to redeem can basically have an exit scam and 20 get out with their money. 21 I would note while, again, we don't have access 22 to an account that Ms. Wang has where the money is held, 23 Your Honor correctly identified approximately \$7 million 24 worth of a cryptocurrency asset would be something you 25 would want to keep track of. The allocation indicates

1 PROCEEDING 56 2 Yanping Wang, and then it has the allocation, it's in her name. 3 I would note that some of the other individuals 4 or entities who are allocated HCN in the document that 5 the Government has include Ms. Wang's co-conspirator, 6 7 William Je. It says Sue Ming Je and family, that's one of his family members. It includes Mr. Kwok's son, it 8 includes friends of Mr. Kwok's son, all named by their 9 10 names. Ms. Wang is also named by her name. Allocated 7 11 million. 12 Now, I don't know if she forgot or she just 13 didn't think it was relevant to disclose to Pretrial 14 Services, but this is a newly discovered fact the 15 Government found in the course of reviewing evidence 16 that was taken from Ms. Wang's apartment that gives us 17 serious pause, and it's something that's different from 18 when the Government first agreed to the conditions of 19 the proposed bond with defense counsel. 20 Another point I would like to note, with 21 respect to the accounts to which the defendant has 22 access, I understand that the way that the condition is 23 worded it could be read narrowly or broadly. In the 24 Government's view it certainly imposes an obligation on 25 the defendant to be forthcoming. And the condition

1 PROCEEDING 57 2 included the requirement that the defendant disclose assets or accounts that she controls in her name or that 3 are in companies that she controls or is affiliated with 4 5 and, broadly speaking, cryptocurrency and other real 6 property. 7 The Government has found evidence, again, dated as recently as a few days before the defendant's arrest 8 9 from her apartment, as I said, that show bank account 10 information, account information, Ms. Wang signing off 11 on payroll for some of the instrumentalities that she 12 doesn't control, but that the Government certainly 13 alleges that she manages and works for in her role as 14 Mr. Kwok's chief of staff. So to the Government that 15 indicates effective control over those finances. 16 Even setting that aside though, Your Honor, Mr. 17 Lipman mentioned that there were credit cards and other 18 items in the safe. The Government had indicated that 19 there was cash in one of the pouches, another pouch with 20 certain items that appeared to be and are ready to take 21 at the ready. 22 THE COURT: You mentioned a safe. Was there a 23 safe? 24 MS. MURRAY: There was a safe. Yes. So the 25 bag with the cash and another bag that had credit cards

1 PROCEEDING 58 2 and other items, including the passports, those were all concealed in a safe in defendant's apartment. 3 The credit cards notable that were taken from 4 5 one of those pouches in the safe, looking at the front cover of those credit cards which were photographed and 6 7 we provided to defense counsel last week, there are numerous cards that indicate accounts that are not yet 8 9 expired in the defendant's name that the defendant did 10 not disclose to the Government or to Pretrial Services. 11 And at this point, we have no way of determining what 12 assets are in those accounts, how the defendant 13 continues to control those accounts, but it's, again, 14 another layer, Your Honor, where we cannot derive 15 comfort that the defendant is being truthful with 16 Pretrial Services, with the U.S. Attorney's Office, or 17 with the Court. 18 And at a very high level, to talk through those 19 accounts, there is a Citibank account for one of the Kwok family entities that the defendant controlled that 20 21 was active through last month when she was arrested. 22 it was active at the time. There were two personal Bank 23 of America debit cards, different account numbers, both 24 in the defendant's name, in her name, personal accounts.

One which expired last month but, again, active when she

25

1 PROCEEDING 59 2 was arrested. The next which expires next year. There is a Citibank personal account in the defendant's own 3 name which doesn't expire for another year. There's a 4 DBS Treasures account at a Singapore Bank, and the 5 Government explicitly asked about foreign accounts as 6 7 That card doesn't expire until January of 2025, well. again, in the defendant's name. And, finally, a China 8 Bank of Communications account, it's a Chinese bank. 9 10 That account, the card indicates it expires September of 11 2023, also in the defendant's name. 12 It's another example, Your Honor, of 13 indications to the Government that the defendant has 14 access to accounts, assets, funds that she could use in 15 order to flee. And if they are funds that we needed to 16 rely on the defendant to disclose to satisfy another 17 condition of the bond that was imposed. Separate and 18 apart from the question of co-signer, she was obligated 19 under the conditions imposed to disclose her assets, her 20 accounts, her cryptocurrency, her property to the 21 Government and to Pretrial Services. 22 She represented through counsel that she had 23 done that simply by disclosing two personal accounts, 24 one at Morgan Stanley Bank, one at TD Bank, and then 25 this account that was associated with one of the

60 1 PROCEEDING 2 companies. She did not disclose in the Government's view by any stretch the corpus of money that she has 3 access to. 4 5 These are examples of new circumstances that gives the Government grave concerns. Grave concerns 6 7 about the defendant's incentives to flee, about her ability to flee, about the fact that we cannot trust 8 9 representations that the defendant is making. And, Your 10 Honor, in those situations where we have so many red 11 flags and so many concerns that the Government would not 12 necessarily have identified if we hadn't found this new 13 information. We simply do not have any assurances that 14 there are any conditions or set of conditions that will 15 assure the defendant's appearance at future court 16 appearances. 17 So that goes to the third prong, Your Honor. 18 It's the fact that the Government is now coming to the 19 Court saying we agreed on these proposed bail conditions 20 at the time of her arrest based on what we knew then. 21 The world has changed since then, and it has only gotten 22 more concerning for the Government which already had a 23 significant concern about the defendant's risk of flight 24 but believed that there may be certain conditions that

could assure her appearance. We no longer feel that

25

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        61
2
        We do not believe there are conditions or a set of
   conditions that can reasonably assure her appearance.
3
             THE COURT: One clarification. In regards to -
4
5
   you referred to, I think you referred to, I don't know
   if you were referring to the allegations of the
6
7
   indictment or something else, but you referred to Mr.
   Kwok and Mr. Je as being the ones who were sort of
8
9
   lining their pocket and getting rich. Are you in
10
   agreement with defense counsel that the indictment
11
   doesn't make allegations that the defendant here herself
12
   was lining her pockets so to speak?
13
             MS. MURRAY: I guess to answer Your Honor's
14
   question, the indictment does make allegations that the
15
   defendant herself was personally responsible for a
16
   hundred million dollar misappropriation of fraud
17
   proceeds --
18
             THE COURT:
                         I understand.
19
             MS. MURRAY: But that's to the point of
20
   misappropriation. Now, with respect to the indictment
21
   which is a charging document that contains some
22
   allegations, we haven't specifically outlined personal
23
   money that the defendant herself misappropriated, but,
24
   again, we don't believe that that is in any way germane
25
   to her risk of flight and her access to money here and
```

62 1 PROCEEDING 2 to a network. 3 And another point that I would like to note is 4 with respect to travel documents and passports. 5 Lipman said that the defendant had been seeking permission to travel at the end of last year or 6 7 beginning of this year, and she was going to go I believe to the U.K. Travel internationally. 8 9 The Government recovered a Vanuatu passport and 10 a Hong Kong passport from her safe. The Vanuatu 11 passport was expired, and we did see evidence which we disclosed that that passport had been kind of not 12 13 revoked but that the defendant had removed her request 14 from the passport. But she has the ability to obtain 15 travel documents as does her co-defendant Miles Kwok who 16 allegedly has had 11 passports at various points. 17 THE COURT: Well, she's not Miles Kwok. 18 MS. MURRAY: I understand --19 THE COURT: I understand she could be part of a 20 network where things like that can be made available is 21 what you're suggesting I think. 22 That's exactly right, Your Honor, MS. MURRAY: 23 it's exactly right that she can both be part of the 24 network where things can be made available and she is 25 the one who is tasked with holding onto those travel

1 PROCEEDING 63 2 documents both for herself and Mr. Kwok. She is a trusted person who is entrusted with the responsibility 3 of having those travel documents --4 5 THE COURT: What do you make of the defense's points that the defendant certainly would've been aware 6 7 in September or October of 2022 about the seizure of phones and that something was afoot and then there was 8 9 the dealings with the SEC, together with the fact that, 10 again, as defense has represented, that she applied for 11 a furlough to be able to travel despite her asylum aps. 12 Aren't those, if true, sort of indicative of someone 13 who's not going to run? 14 MS. MURRAY: Not necessarily, Your Honor, and I 15 would also note that while, you know, there may be a 16 question of whether those are at odds, and I'm happy to 17 address that in a moment, I would also note that the 18 defendant's willingness and, in fact, desire to travel 19 to the U.K. even though she has these serious concerns, 20 the CCP's persecution of repatriation, indicates that 21 those concerns are not so grave that she's not willing 22 to travel internationally. 23 But I don't know the circumstances of the 24 defendant's requested furlough. I don't know what the 25 purpose was of her going on that trip. I will say that

1 PROCEEDING 64 there's no reason - if we're speaking in hypotheticals 2 in this instance, there's similarly no reason to believe 3 that she didn't request furlough to go to the United 4 Kingdom without any intention of returning after she was 5 aware the funds had been seized. And, again, I'm 6 7 speaking in hypotheticals only because we were asked a question by the Court, but I think you can draw various 8 9 different conclusions from these facts. And at bottom, 10 her seeking to travel to the U.K., her willingness to 11 travel internationally, doesn't cut against the fact 12 that she poses a significant risk of flight. 13 And I'd also note, it's a risk of flight non-14 appearance at future court appearances. We don't need 15 to establish that she's going to go to a foreign 16 jurisdiction --17 THE COURT: No. 18 MS. MURRAY: She could flee from the city, she 19 could flee from the several block radius. She could cut 20 her bracelet. And it could be that her vast network of 21 supporters enable and harbor her. We don't know the 22 circumstances, but the bottom fundamental point is the 23 defendant poses a significant risk of flight. 24 Government sees no condition or set of conditions in 25 light of the strength of the evidence, the seriousness

```
65
 1
                          PROCEEDING
2
   of the charges here, the defendant's personal
3
   circumstances, her access to substantial assets, foreign
4
   connections including her co-defendant William Je who is
5
   alleged to be in the UAE as a fugitive of where he has
   charges, her network of supporters, and the new
6
7
   information that we have found in the last two weeks,
   indicating that the defendant has not been forthcoming
8
   with the Court, Pretrial, or the Government. We simply
9
10
   don't believe there are any conditions that can ensure
11
   her appearance at future court proceedings.
12
             THE COURT: All right. I assume you want to
13
   respond some.
14
             MR. LIPMAN: Oh, yes, Your Honor.
                                                Thank you.
15
             THE COURT:
                         Just let me say to my 3:30, sorry,
   that we're going to be running late. Just sit tight,
16
17
   and we'll eventually get there. Go ahead.
18
             MR. LIPMAN: I'll do this as quickly as I can,
19
   Your Honor. So I want to start with the following.
20
   Everything I said about what they misrepresented in
21
   their conversations with the Court and submissions
22
   apparently is true because none of it did they take
23
   issue with. So all of that stuff about finding, you
24
   know, a phone between mattresses, phones secreted in
25
   whatever it that they were, a document hiding in between
```

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        66
2
   the cushions, none of that apparently happened.
                                                    It is,
   it was represented to the Court.
 3
             So now we get to the point of trust. They said
 4
   trust. You can't trust this defendant. Really?
5
   you can trust this Government? Let's just see, let's
6
7
   just parse through what Ms. Murray just said. She said
   that she found photographs of cards, some of those
8
9
   showed that the card is not yet expired. How do we go
10
   from there to, oh, and there's an account that goes with
11
        What evidence does she have? None.
12
   whatsoever.
13
            What she knows - by the way, Your Honor, I have
   never, the words Great Britain never left my mouth.
14
15
   Okay? That means that they knew that she was about to
   travel. Why didn't they arrest her? If they thought
16
17
   that she was going to get out of Dodge and they were
18
   concerned that she was a flight risk, well, when they
19
   found out that she applied, well, arrest her. What,
20
   they didn't have a border watching her? Really?
21
   Because the Department of Justice has changed that much
22
   since I was there? I don't think so.
23
             So now let's get to her employment. Once
24
   again, what was the question that was asked?
                                                  Are vou
25
   currently employed? No. No. If the question were
```

```
67
 1
                          PROCEEDING
2
   asked are you still a member of a revolutionary movement
   that does whatever it is that they try to do to get rid
3
   of the communist party of China, the answer to that is
4
5
   yes.
6
             THE COURT: Well, wasn't she working for one or
7
   more of the companies?
8
             MR. LIPMAN: She was working for the family
   office.
9
10
             THE COURT:
                         Yeah.
11
             MR. LIPMAN: There's no dispute that she had
12
   input into various things that happened. I'm not taking
13
   issue with what they say that she interviewed people for
14
   whatever it is and this and that. The Government knows,
15
   yeah, the Government knows that she was the 99.999
16
   percent owner of this entity that owns these three other
17
   companies. None of that is a secret. Okay?
18
             THE COURT: But was she --
19
             MR. LIPMAN: But was she --
20
             THE COURT: Was she employed?
21
             MR. LIPMAN: No, she got, she was not getting,
22
   drawing a salary anymore. She was not employed.
23
   worked, she continued to do certain kind of work, but
24
   she did not get paid. She was volunteering. And the
25
   reason she's volunteering, Your Honor, this goes back to
```

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        68
2
   what we talked about before. The reason she is
   volunteering is because this is a political movement
3
 4
   that she --
5
             THE COURT: What was she doing for a source of
   funds then?
6
7
            MR. LIPMAN: Well, she's still, she has --
             THE COURT: I understand she has accounts.
8
            MR. LIPMAN: And, by the way, Your Honor, the
9
10
   house that she bought, her apartment, she bought before
11
   any of these fraud allegations --
12
             THE COURT: Yeah, I understand.
13
            MR. LIPMAN: And, Your Honor, look, I'm sorry,
14
   but the few things that the Government says, they say
   change in circumstances. What's the change in
15
16
   circumstances again? That she's volunteering whereas
17
   she used to - of course. So what? So what?
                                                  The day
18
   before her arrest, did she know she was about to get
19
   arrested? Because if she did know that she was about to
20
   get arrested and she didn't get out of Dodge, then she's
21
   not a flight risk. So she was going about her normal
22
          What is so, what's the new - what is new about
   life.
23
   that? Absolutely nothing.
24
            Now, and then what is they say - she lied to
25
   disclaim that she had nothing to do with any of these
```

69 1 PROCEEDING 2 companies? When? To whom? And I had a specific conversation with the Government when they say, well, 3 Ms. Murray says, she says that, broadly speaking, the 4 question could be that broadly construed or narrowly 5 construed. Well, first of all, nobody's taken my 6 7 client's Fifth Amendment (indiscernible), not that I have heard, and when she was asked the question, she 8 9 gave an answer, the answer was truthful. If they wanted 10 to know more, they should've asked. And I specifically 11 had a conversation with the Government, and I said 12 excluding anything that she may have control over by 13 virtue of corporate ownership or whatever, these are the 14 accounts. 15 She's not a flight risk, Your Honor. There's a 16 question that I keep asking myself is this. Why? 17 is the Government misrepresenting evidence? Why is the 18 Government stretching stuff, stretching stuff? Even if 19 they believe that, you know, there's more to this. 20 - I'm sorry, I'm sorry, I'm reminded that on her 21 employment question, we actually invoked, she invoked 22 her Fifth Amendment right. Okay? Thank you. 23 Even - I lost my train of thought. I 24 I think I was responding to this idea that apologize. 25 she controls stuff. There's no - we never hid that.

```
70
 1
                          PROCEEDING
2
   The only question is is she a flight risk? What is it
   about her that makes you think that she's not going to
3
   show up? She will show up, Your Honor. She's got
4
5
   nowhere to go. Nowhere. And the Government keeps -
   this is where I was, thank you.
6
 7
             Why are they stretching it? Why? What is the
            I mean, really, does she look dangerous? What
8
   reason?
   is it --
9
10
             THE COURT:
                         They're not moving on
11
   dangerousness.
12
            MR. LIPMAN: I'm sorry, no --
13
             THE COURT:
                         They're not moving on danger.
14
            MR. LIPMAN: There is a reason why they're
15
   doing it. They want her to cook. They want her to get
   a flavor of the MDC because she was the chief of staff,
16
17
   Your Honor, and that is not okay. That is immoral.
18
   when the Government obtains that result by, among other
19
   things, misrepresenting, saying that she's a flight risk
20
   on the basis of things that they cannot support, that
21
   contradict the evidence that's collected, that is - is
22
   anybody other than me think that it's a little bit
23
   peculiar or ironic that the Government is alleging that
24
   she violated certain antifraud provisions that make it
25
   unlawful to make a statement that in light of all
```

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        71
   circumstances is materially misleading and yet this is
2
   what the Government is doing? Why?
3
             Your Honor, this woman needs to be released.
4
   She's not a flight risk. She's not going anywhere.
5
   She's going to have an ankle bracelet, she'll have GPS
6
7
   monitoring. We can have all of her money tied up so
   that she can't breathe without Pretrial or somebody
8
9
   giving her approval.
10
             And one last thing, if she's not released, her
11
   defense is going to be severely prejudiced.
12
             THE COURT: It's true for anybody who doesn't
13
   get released.
14
             MR. LIPMAN: Except, except when that person
15
   also has Mandarin as her first language, when the
16
   Government asks for a disk to put 2 terabytes of data on
17
        This is not a case that's going to be resolved
18
   quickly, and it is a case in which it's going to be very
19
   important to have your client's assistance.
20
             THE COURT: Okay, thank you. Ms. Murray, do
21
   you want to have the last word here?
22
             MS. MURRAY: Yes, Your Honor, briefly. I want
23
   to start by saying there is nothing that the Government
24
   has misrepresented to the Court. The Government has not
25
   reached on facts. The Government has provided evidence
```

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        72
2
   substantiated information that it has presented to this
   Court and to the defense. With respect to the credit
3
   cards Mr. Lipman mentioned, it's not a photo of the
4
   cards. It's a photo of the cards that we have before
5
   the Court and the defense today. They were the physical
6
7
          But we resent the claim that we are in any way
   cards.
8
   acting other than --
9
             THE COURT: I know --
10
            MS. MURRAY: --fully forthcoming and in good
11
   faith.
12
             THE COURT: -- I have no doubt you're operating
13
   in good faith. But he did point out some things that
   were discrepancies it seemed between what was
14
15
   represented in terms of where certain pieces of evidence
16
   were found in her apartment versus what was inventoried
17
   and how it was inventoried. Can you speak to that?
18
            MS. MURRAY: Sure, Your Honor. There aren't
19
   discrepancies. What Mr. Lipman has done is he's pointed
20
   to an evidence log that has a column where there are
21
   certain notations made when the FBI is collecting
22
   evidence that indicates where the item was recovered.
23
   Typically, it indicates the room by letter based on the
24
   map that Mr. Lipman provided to the Court and a brief
25
   description. It does not indicate in a detailed
```

```
1
                           PROCEEDING
                                                        73
2
   narrative where each and every item that is taken as
   evidence was recovered from, what condition it was in,
3
   how it was found.
 4
5
             So with respect the laptop between clothes,
   that is consistent with the Government's representations
6
7
   to Judge Parker at the initial presentment that the
   laptop was found between sweaters in the closet. It
8
9
   doesn't say specifically what items of clothing --
10
             THE COURT: No.
11
             MS. MURRAY: -- or where, but it's consistent.
12
   With respect to the iPhones that the Government had
13
   indicated had been in boxes, yes, in a bag in the
14
   closet, and you can see those are the items that Mr.
15
   Lipman pointed Your Honor to in the 50's on the evidence
        And you'll note that nearly each of them has the
16
17
   same PIN code or passcode. So those are items that at
18
   first the FBI thought might not have any content, and
19
   then the FBI had technicians on site during the search
20
   warrant, they plugged them in, and they determined they
21
   had content. There are no misrepresentations.
22
             Mr. Lipman is now, again, Your Honor,
23
   essentially trying to hold a trial on the merits of the
24
   Government's case here at a point of a detention hearing
25
   by, first of all, requesting information from the
```

1 PROCEEDING 74 2 Government which we happily provided and would have so provided in the course of discovery in this case as 3 well, and then trying to hold it against the Government 4 by claiming that because there isn't a photo of each 5 stage of every step of the process that evidence was 6 7 collected, then the Government can't be trusted. simply not true, and it's disrespectful, Your Honor. 8 9 With respect to a couple of other points, I 10 would just like to note the defendant lied. She lied 11 about the cash in her apartment. I have now heard the 12 defense during the course of this argument split hairs 13 on several topics, and that is another example of what 14 gives the Government pause. Well, how do we know - it is 15 THE COURT: 16 important what was asked. Do you have any money on you? 17 Do you have any money in your apartment? There's a 18 difference. 19 MS. MURRAY: I understand, Your Honor, and the 20 Government obviously is not privy --21 THE COURT: And particularly for someone of a 22 different language and culture, it might be all the more 23 important that there's nuance to what's asked. 24 know what was asked. 25 MS. MURRAY: Sure, and nor do we because the

1 PROCEEDING 75 2 Government is not part of Pretrial Services interview with the defendant. She was assisted by a Mandarin 3 speaking interpreter during that interview. 4 Government is also aware from its investigation that Ms. 5 Wang is quite fluent in English. We know that from 6 7 various different pieces of evidence we've collected, including statements that she's made and her voice 8 during conversations. She doesn't appear to have an 9 10 issue understanding. 11 But with respect to the questions that were 12 asked, again, I don't know, I was not there. 13 defendant is very much so splitting hairs on several 14 topics. I will note that the Pretrial Services report 15 indicates that the defendant was asked about assets, 16 assets, not specific accounts that she is the sole 17 signatory on, not specific accounts that are active that 18 she has control over and log-in information to. 19 not splitting hairs. Pretrial Services asked about 20 assets, and she did not disclose \$138,000 worth of cash 21 that was sitting in a safe in her apartment. 22 With respect to her employment, the defense 23 just indicated that she had invoked - the Pretrial 24 Services report with respect to employment history 25 indicates that the defendant advised she has been

1 PROCEEDING 76 2 unemployed since September 2022. Now, with respect to the source of additional money that she has since then 3 or that she is living on, the defendant declined to 4 5 answer, and that is her right. But she did provide this statement in response to Pretrial Services report, she's 6 7 been unemployed since September of 2022. Now, Mr. Lipman says that the defendant has 8 9 been volunteering in various organizations that she 10 previously might have worked in a more formal employment 11 capacity. I just want to go back briefly to the 12 personal gain point that Your Honor has asked about. 13 Yes, I understand \$1.1 million might not be an expensive apartment in Manhattan, but it's a \$1.1 million 14 15 apartment purchased in cash. The defendant has nearly 16 another million dollars in her accounts. The defendant 17 was up until her purported decision to terminate her 18 employment and start volunteering was earning a salary 19 of approximately \$250,000 from the Kwok entities that 20 she worked for formally, in a formal capacity. That is 21 personal gain in the Government's view. 22 It is also inconsistent with now the claims 23 that September 2022, right when the Government started 24 seizing funds, the defendant stopped working in a formal 25 capacity. She can't be held responsible for any of

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        77
2
   these bank accounts that she's signing off on payroll
   for, that she has access to the funds for.
3
             Your Honor, at bottom the defendant is a risk
 4
5
   of flight. There are no conditions that can reasonably
   assure her appearance. She has lied. The Government
6
7
   has not misrepresented itself to the Court. And we have
   no comfort that we can believe that she will make
8
9
   accurate representations to the Court, that we will have
10
   the ability to monitor her in any meaningful way that
11
   would assure her appearance at future court proceedings.
12
             THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Lipman, I see you,
13
   do you want to respond? Go ahead.
14
             MR. LIPMAN: Your Honor, answering the question
15
   that's posed truthfully is a complete answer. It's not
   splitting hairs --
16
17
             THE COURT: Look, the bottom line is we don't
18
   know really what was asked and how it was asked --
19
             MR. LIPMAN: Well, we were there.
20
             THE COURT: Fine, but I'm saying we don't have
21
   a record --
22
             MR. LIPMAN: But, Your Honor, there is no
23
   record, and there is no proof of these things that the
24
   Government says --
25
             THE COURT: I --
```

```
78
 1
                          PROCEEDING
2
             MR. LIPMAN:
                         -- which is what --
             (interposing)
 3
             THE COURT: I didn't say which way it cuts.
 4
5
             MR. LIPMAN: No, but, Your Honor, they said we
   found a credit card. Well, that means she didn't
6
7
   disclose an account. No, you found a credit card.
   Okay? We found a statement that said that whatever,
8
9
   that she was allocated some coin. Yes, that's what you
10
   found, that's what you have. You don't have anything
11
   else. So to tell me that she needs to be detained and
12
   she cannot be trusted because they found something that
13
   they don't fully understand, I'm sorry, but that's a
14
   bridge too far.
15
             And, yeah, a bunch of her accounts, by the way,
16
   as the Government knows, were closed, and the
17
   Government's investigation kind of followed that.
                                                       So
18
   like the Citi accounts, for example, were closed. Other
19
   accounts at other banks were closed recently, they were
   closed. And the other thing, Your Honor, when they say
20
21
   she controls this or she controls that or whatever,
22
   okay, she worked somewhere, she no longer works there,
23
   she doesn't draw a salary. What she does with her time
24
   is her business. It's not cutting - it's not lying to
25
   anybody, it's not any of that. Okay?
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 PROCEEDING 79

And the Government essentially conceded - no,

not essentially. The Government conceded the key point that this was not, her participating, according to their indictment, was not for the benefit for her personal monetary gain. It was for some other reason. And the apartment was bought before any of the allegations with other money. She did make money, but she didn't spend it. I already described to the Court how she lived. And so the key question is why does she do this and, if she did it, did she do it to benefit herself, and if not, then is that sufficient reason to think that she's now going to hurt these people because she did not put any money that came out of their pockets and put it into There's no reason to believe that having not done that, being around all this money and not putting any of it in your pocket. For all of these years she didn't do that. So what is going to make her do it now? the answer is that this is a revolutionary movement, okay, these people are her brothers and sisters. together want to see the CCP overthrown. And so she's not going to put them in financial jeopardy that she

THE COURT: All right.

dedicated her life, her life to this cause.

1 PROCEEDING 80 2 MS. MURRAY: Your Honor, just a final point. want to be clear the Government made no concession on 3 that point in any stretch, and a key question is whether 4 5 she poses a risk of flight, that is the question. THE COURT: All right, look, one thing that 6 7 I've been asked to do is to determine if the, or at least order that some of the financial suretors that 8 have been offered are sufficient to meet the 9 10 requirements and conditions that were issued by Judge 11 Parker, and the defense has indicated here they have 12 three for which they believe that there's sufficient 13 property that can be offered as security along with the 14 enhanced package, if you will, of funds that were offered on behalf of the defendant. 15 16 I don't have it in front of me information 17 about those three FRP's in terms of the property that's 18 being offered. That is part of what I need to consider. 19 I realize I am also being asked by the Government for 20 detention anew in light of new material. But it's 21 incumbent upon me to review whatever material the 22 defendant is going to provide to substantiate it's offered financial suretors. 23 24 So I want a package of whatever it is that you 25 must or that you think is enough. If there is

1 PROCEEDING 81 2 documentation you haven't provided the Government already on others that you can provide, including the 3 so-called eighth or others, provide it. And part of 4 5 what I'm going to do is assess that material. It doesn't mean I'm necessarily going to find obviously 6 7 that that is sufficient and that the conditions have been met, but it is one of the things I am going to 8 9 consider in addition to considering whether a different 10 set of conditions should be imposed or whether the 11 defendant should be detained. 12 So she's going to continue to be detained 13 pursuant to Judge Parker's order of all conditions being 14 satisfied before she's released pending the submission 15 of this additional information and my review of it which 16 I will try to do as quickly as possible. 17 Let me ask Mr. Lipman, when can you get that 18 material to me and the Government? 19 MR. LIPMAN: Your Honor, I will start working 20 on it as soon as I leave this courtroom. I would ask 21 for 24 hours. 22 THE COURT: Well, sure. 23 MR. LIPMAN: Oh yes, yes. Yes. That's a good 24 Your Honor has a lot of personal information, 25 rather than redacting it and filing it in various ways -

```
82
 1
                           PROCEEDING
2
 3
             THE COURT: You can file it under seal.
             MR. LIPMAN: Okay. All right.
 4
5
                         And you'll provide it to the
             THE COURT:
   Government obviously in unredacted form.
6
7
             MR. LIPMAN: Of course. You know what, Your
   Honor, I said 24 hours --
8
9
             THE COURT: Give yourself more time.
10
             MR. LIPMAN:
                         Yeah.
11
             THE COURT:
                         It's your call sort of because your
12
   client is going to remain detained. So you obviously --
13
             MR. LIPMAN: I understand. But how about this,
14
   we will provide it no later than 48 hours from now, but
15
   we will attempt to provide it as soon as humanly
16
   possible.
17
             THE COURT: Okay. All right, I mean it's
18
   important I think also if you need a little more time,
19
   to be able to put together something stronger that might
   assure the Government. Grant it that they're saying
20
21
   there are changed conditions and they want detention.
22
   But anything you can do to make stronger the financial
23
   suretor application would be helpful to me in being able
   to review and its significance. Okay?
24
25
             MR. LIPMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
```

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        83
2
             THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the
3
   Government?
            MS. MURRAY: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
 4
5
             THE COURT: Anything else from the defense?
            MR. LIPMAN: No, Your Honor, thank you.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: All right, we're adjourned.
                                                      Thank
8
   you all.
9
            MS. MURRAY: Your Honor, sorry.
10
            THE COURT: Oh, one administrative thing
11
   actually. I just want to note for the record that the
12
   defense handed up exhibits marked 1, 45, 46, and 26, and
13
   finally 27.
14
            MS. MURRAY: Your Honor, just briefly before we
15
   adjourned. To the extent the defense is going to submit
16
   something to the Government and to the Court, we would
17
   ask for a response date.
18
             THE COURT: Fair.
19
            MS. MURRAY: We can figure out the timing once
20
   the defense has actually submitted the materials, and we
21
   can coordinate with Your Honor on that if that makes
22
   sense.
23
             THE COURT: All right. Should we set a defined
24
   time now? I think it would be appropriate.
25
            MR. LIPMAN: Yes, please.
```

```
1
                          PROCEEDING
                                                        84
2
             THE COURT: So I would - I don't know about the
   weekend. So you're going to get to me and the
3
   Government before the weekend it sounds like.
4
5
            MR. LIPMAN: Yes, I will get it to you as soon
6
   as humanly possible.
7
             THE COURT: All right, well, I'm going to give
8
   the Government, I was going to say five days --
9
            MR. LIPMAN: Your Honor.
10
             THE COURT: Too much?
11
            MR. LIPMAN: Five days at the MDC.
12
             THE COURT: Yeah, and the Government has
13
   partial information on some of these already. I'll give
14
   the Government three days. If for any reason something
15
   turns out that is particularly complex that requires
   more, let me know, but I'm giving the Government three
16
   days --
17
18
            MR. LIPMAN: Your Honor, may I just for a
19
   second, and I hear that, you know, I don't know why they
20
   need three days. I apologize --
21
             THE COURT: I don't know what's going to be in
22
   the package. Three days.
23
            MR. LIPMAN: Okay. What I was going to say,
24
   Your Honor, is this, what I would like to get to the
25
   Court is evidence of real estate that is available.
                                                         Ιt
```

1 PROCEEDING 85 2 is our position that if there's sufficient proof that the person proposing to cosign actually owns this real 3 estate and the real estate has the value that they say 4 5 it does, that's really all that the Government needs. In other words, right, because whether they make money 6 7 or not --THE COURT: I don't know what the Government 8 9 needs, but you need to assure the Court --10 MR. LIPMAN: I'm sorry? 11 THE COURT: You need to assure the Court at the 12 very least. I don't know exactly what that is you will 13 give to me. Certainly, it'll be important to know who 14 is the owner, whether there are any other ownership 15 interests, what are the liens, what are the mortgages, 16 etc. So I think you have an idea. 17 It's not going to necessarily take away from 18 whether someone is an alleged victim or has one of the 19 other faults, but at least I want a more complete 20 picture, and it's part of my obligation to make that 21 assessment. And I don't want to make a sweeping 22 statement at the moment that just because anyone is an 23 alleged victim and is not a family tie in some way, that 24 necessarily makes them inadequate. But that's why I 25 need to see it individually.

```
1
                                                         86
                           PROCEEDING
2
             MR. LIPMAN:
                          Okay.
3
             MS. MURRAY: Your Honor, with respect to the
4
   response date, assuming that the defense submits
5
   something on Thursday, that would make the Government's
6
   response due on Easter Sunday. We would respectfully
7
   ask --
8
             THE COURT: Monday.
9
             MS. MURRAY: -- that we get until Monday.
10
   Thank you.
11
             THE COURT: Yes, of course. Okay, all right,
12
   we are adjourned. Thank you.
13
             MS. MURRAY: Thank you.
14
             MR. LIPMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
15
             (Whereupon the matter is adjourned.)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	87
2	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
3	
4	I, Carole Ludwig, certify that the foregoing
5	transcript of proceedings in the United States District
6	Court, Southern District of New York, United States of
7	America versus Wang, Docket #23cr118/23m2007, was
8	prepared using PC-based transcription software and is a
9	true and accurate record of the proceedings.
10	
11	
12	Signature Carola Ludwig
13	Carole Ludwig
14	Date: April 5, 2023
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	